SKAGIT COUNTY
OFFICE OF THE HEARING EXAMINER

Appeal of Damerell and Bowman
APL 2026-013 / PLAN1-2026-0089

Supporting Valuation Correspondence

Attachments A through C

Reformatted copies of the individual messages identified below. Quoted earlier-message history is omitted to avoid duplication. Message wording is retained; line wrapping and hyperlink formatting are normalized. Attachment letters are local references for this motion, not assigned County exhibit numbers.

Attachment A

Date: October 07, 2026 at 10:05 PM PDT

From: Quinn Damerell <quinnd@outlook.com>

To: irwinlanduse@gmail.com; jasond@skagitcountywa.gov

Cc: henrygid@hotmail.com; stephenf@skagitcountywa.gov; Abbowman21@gmail.com; lisachug@skagitcountywa.gov; HEhearings@skagitcountywa.gov

Subject: APL26-013 / PLAN1-2026-0089 — Request for valuation information

Date/time shown from mailbox receipt metadata, converted to Pacific time.

Hi Jay, Jason, and everyone!

I'm preparing for the November 6 briefing deadline and would appreciate your help understanding the materials and scope behind the $12,575 fair-market- value figure in the application.

Could you please share the following information, to the extent available?

1. Any existing estimate, quotation, worksheet, materials list, or other nonprivileged record supporting that figure, including who prepared it and when.

2. The material quantities and specifications used to price the dock, particularly the aluminum framing system/member sizes and connections, pile diameter/length/wall thickness/grade, and dock/ramp decking models. Existing drawings, product information, or supplier documents would be helpful.

3. What work the figure includes or excludes: fabrication and installation, labor, pile driving and marine equipment, delivery/mobilization, overhead and profit, tax, etc. Please also clarify how it treats the ramp/landing, required deck and wall removal, and shoreline restoration, and whether the described 4-by-14-foot ramp/landing is included within the 4-by-60-foot dimensions.

4. From the County, any existing valuation review or supporting records relied on when approving the exemption. If those are already in the exhibits, identifying the exhibit and page would be appreciated.

If there is no separate itemization or supporting document for a particular item, please let us know and briefly explain the basis actually used. I'm requesting existing records and clarification; there is no need to commission a new estimate or design to respond to this email.

Would it be possible to respond by Friday, October 16? If more time is needed, please let us know the expected timing.

I copied the hearing office for the case correspondence and the other participants so everyone receives the same information; please reply all!

Thank you for your help!

- Quinn

Attachment B

Date: October 08, 2026 at 09:09 AM PDT

From: Jason D`Avignon <jasond@skagitcountywa.gov>

To: quinnd@outlook.com; irwinlanduse@gmail.com

Cc: henrygid@hotmail.com; Abbowman21@gmail.com; leahf@skagitcountywa.gov

Subject: RE: APL26-013 / PLAN1-2026-0089 — Request for valuation information

Date/time shown from mailbox receipt metadata, converted to Pacific time.

Morning Quinn,

First of all, I need to confirm if you wish this to be a public records request? As you may know there are certain procedures, including timelines, that need to be followed if it is. So if it is a public records requests, I will forward it on to be processed as such.

My understanding is that the county relied on the valuation contained and certified in the JARPA application. The record contains everything that was used in approving the exemption, and thus the County does not have any documents of the nature you described.

A final note, I removed Steve and the hearing examiner staff from the email. Steve was just covering the hearing for me and has no other involvement in this matter. HE staff because they are not involved in this sort of thing. I also included Leah Forbes, who is the planner for this matter.

If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thanks,

Jason

Attachment C

Date: October 30, 2026 at 11:47 AM PDT

From: Quinn Damerell <quinnd@outlook.com>

To: JT@houlihan-law.com; jasond@skagitcountywa.gov

Cc: irwinlanduse@gmail.com; Abbowman21@gmail.com

Subject: APL26-013 / PLAN1-2026-0089 - Outstanding valuation request

Date/time shown from mailbox receipt metadata, converted to Pacific time.

Hi JT, Jason, and Jay,

I'm following up on my October 7 request, renewed October 8, for the applicant's information supporting the $12,575 valuation in the application.

Jason, thank you for your October 8 response explaining your understanding that the County relied on the certified JARPA value and had no separate pricing records of the kind requested. I confirmed public-records processing, and you forwarded that request to Pamela Herman that morning. I am following up separately on the status of that County records request.

JT and Jay, we have not received the applicant's response to the request for the underlying valuation information.

Please provide the following applicant information:

1. Any existing nonprivileged estimates, quotations, worksheets, materials lists, or other records supporting the figure, including who prepared it and when.

2. The quantities and specifications used to price the proposed work, including the framing, piles, decking, and ramp/landing.

3. An explanation of the work included and excluded, including fabrication, installation, labor, pile driving, equipment, delivery/mobilization, overhead and profit, and any donated labor or materials. Please also explain how the valuation treats the ramp/landing, associated removal work, and shoreline restoration.

If no separate supporting records exist, please confirm that and explain the basis actually used to calculate the figure. This request concerns existing records and clarification of the submitted valuation.

With the evidence and briefing deadlines approaching, please respond by noon Pacific time on Monday, November 2, with the available information or a specific proposed production date.

Before asking the Hearing Examiner to resolve this, I would also appreciate the applicant's and County's positions on a limited order for disclosure of the applicant's valuation information and a schedule allowing the parties to submit and respond to the resulting evidence. Please identify any objection to the scope or timing so we can see whether an agreed request is possible. If we cannot resolve this promptly, I intend to request an expedited ruling on limited disclosure and permission to submit the resulting evidence.

Thanks, and have a good Halloween!

- Quinn